

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,076 03/26/2004		Robert Duboc	P146-US 3509			
26148	7590	06/13/2006		EXAMINER		
REFLECTI	VITY, IN	NC.	LEWIS, MONICA			
350 POTREI	RO AVEN	IUE				
SUNNYVAI	LE, CA	94085	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		

2822 DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
			,076	DUBOC ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examii	ier	Art Unit				
		Monica	Lewis	2822				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	R REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	S) OR THIRTY (30	DAYS.			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA issions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. tory period will apply an II, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION event, however, may a reply be tind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 30 March 200	06.					
·	•)⊠ This action is						
′=	Since this application is in condition for	·		secution as to the	merits is			
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	•	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3 and 5-39 is/are pending i	n the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-16 and 20-39</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are anowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 17-19</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 17-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election	requirement.					
•	on Papers		·					
	•	Evenie ee						
,	The specification is objected to by the		ented or b) Abjected t	a by the Everniner				
-	The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004	-			w _B			
	Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• •		ED 1 121/d\			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to l		·					
.—	•	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	Action of formal a	0-102.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority de			NI.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority de				0.4			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internationa	·	• • • •	1				
* 8	ee the attached detailed Office action	tor a list of the ce	enified copies not receive	20.				
					,			
Attachment	:(s)				-X			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F)-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) Other:					
								

Application/Control Number: 10/810,076 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed March 30, 2006.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 and 17-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: a) it appears that the ":" is a typographical error. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: a) 114 (See Figure 2); and b) 120 (See Figure 5). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,076 Page 3

Art Unit: 2822

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant claims that the package contains two glass substrates. However, the specification appears to disclose only one glass substrate. For example, Applicant discloses a glass substrate (102) and a semiconductor substrate (104) (For Example: See Page 4 Lines 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,076 Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

8. Claims 1 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huibers (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0012838).

In regards to claim 1, Huibers discloses the following:

- a) a first glass substrate (80) that is transmissive to visible light (For Example: See Figure 10a, Figure 10b, Paragraph 62, Paragraph 80, Page 13 (Claim 16 and Claim 31);
- b) a getter material (85a-e) and/or a lubricant material (83a-e) disposed on the first substrate (For Example: See Figure 10a, Figure 10b, Abstract, Paragraph 62, Paragraph 80, Page 13 (Claim 16 and Claim 31)); and
- c) a package enclosing the first glass substrate, the package comprising a second glass substrate (70) (For Example: See Paragraph 84)(Note: Huibers discloses that the upper and lower substrates can comprises the same materials e.g. glass (See Paragraph 62)).

In regards to claim 17, Huibers discloses the following:

a) comprising a getter (For Example: See Paragraph 80).

In regards to claim 18, Huibers discloses the following:

a) comprising a lubricant (For Example: See Paragraph 80).

In regards to claim 19, Huibers discloses the following:

a) comprising a getter and a lubricant (For Example: See Paragraph 80).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huibers (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0012838) in view of Ishii (U.S. Patent No. 6,903,860).

In regards to claim 3, Huibers discloses the following:

a) the deflectable element (48a-48c) is a mirror plate that is attached to a hinge (50, 50a, 50b and 50c) formed on the substrate (For Example: See Paragraph 76).

In regards to claim 3, Huibers fails to disclose the following:

a) the mirror plate can rotate on the substrate.

However, Ishii discloses a semiconductor device that has a deflectable element (301) that is a mirror plate that is attached to a hinge (302) formed on the substrate (306) such that the mirror plate can rotate on the substrate (For Example: See Column 7 Lines 60-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Huibers to include a mirror plate that can rotate on the substrate as disclosed in Ishii because it aids in improving the device performance (For Example: See Column 5 Lines 24-52).

Additionally, since Huibers and Ishii are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Ishii would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Huibers.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-1838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization

Application/Control Number: 10/810,076

Art Unit: 2822

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular and after final

communications.

ML

June 6, 2006

Pagë 6